UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/682,412	10/10/2003	Eugenie Charriere	1004900-000254	3439	
	7590 09/16/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER			
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	SERGENT, RABON A			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/16/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/682,412	CHARRIERE ET AL.	
English and		
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Rabon Sergent	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviral (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>44-49</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>39-43 and 51</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Dahan Samant/		
	/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796	

Continuation of 3.: Applicants' proposed amendments set forth limitations that fundamentally alter the previously claimed and examined reaction steps and resulting products. Specifically, within claims 39 and 40, applicant's proposed amendments to step ii) require the production of an isocyanurate reaction product that contains (a) an isocyanurate containing trimer or a biuret containing trimer or mixtures thereof and (b) a dimer. In other words, this language specifies that the isocyanurate contains isocyanurate and/or biuret and further contains dimer. The language is not only indefinite (in view of the language that isocyanurate contains isocyanurate), it is fundamentally different from the requirements within the preamble of the claims. With respect to claims 41 and 42, the proposed amendment fails to address the rejection set forth within paragraph 2 of the final Office action, because the propsed claims still stipulate that the (cyclo)trimerization yields an isocyanurate with no mention being made of the production of trimers containing biuret. In fact, these proposed claims only mention biurets in association with the step that formerly was interpreted as producing only the dimer. Accordingly, the proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11.: Applicants' response is based upon proposed amendments that will not be entered. Furthermore, despite applicants' arguments, the proposed amendments fail to fully address the rejection set forth within paragraph 2 of the final Office action. See NOTE within section 3 for further explanation.